The Enigma of Mass: Insights from the Aether Physics Model

Thomson III, D.W. and Bourassa, J.D.*

Quantum AetherDynamics Institute, 518 Illinois St., Alma, IL 62807, USA

*Correspondence: david@quantumaetherdynamics.org; james@quantumaetherdynamics.org

Abstract:

This paper explores the Aether Physics Model (APM), a novel theoretical framework that offers an alternative perspective on the nature of mass. We examine the APM's core concepts, including the quantum-scale Aether structure, the Singularity, Gforce, and dark matter strings. The model's predictions regarding mass limits, black holes, and the mathematical relationships between fundamental constants are discussed. While speculative, the APM provides intriguing insights into long-standing questions about mass and suggests new avenues for unifying Quantum Mechanics and General Relativity. This work aims to stimulate further research and critical examination of the APM's propositions.

Keywords: quantum aether, mass origin, dark matter, singularity, unified field theory

1. Introduction

The nature of mass has been a longstanding inquiry in physics, with various theories aiming to elucidate its origin and characteristics. While the Standard Model of particle physics has successfully delineated many facets of the subatomic realm, it does not fully explain the fundamental nature of mass. The Higgs mechanism posits that particles acquire mass through interactions with the Higgs field. It offers a partial explanation but leaves numerous inquiries unanswered, as demonstrated by recent experimental results at the Large Hadron Collider [1].

The discovery of the Higgs boson has been pivotal in confirming the Brout-Englert-Higgs (BEH) mechanism, which underpins electroweak symmetry breaking and mass generation in particle physics. Recent measurements of the Higgs boson properties have allowed for precise predictions of the Higgs scalar field potential and self-couplings within the Standard Model [2]. However, a comprehensive understanding of mass generation still needs to be discovered, motivating the exploration of alternative theoretical frameworks.

In recent years, the Aether Physics Model (APM) has emerged as an alternative framework, offering a radically different perspective on the origin and nature of mass. The APM proposes a

quantum-scale structure of space called the Aether, which is hypothesized to be the source of all matter, its behavior, and fundamental forces in the Universe.

This paper aims to explore the APM's unique insights into the nature of mass and how it relates to the fundamental entities of Gforce, dark matter, and the Aether itself. We will examine the model's core concepts and mathematical relationships and discuss their implications for our understanding of mass, gravity, and the structure of the Universe.

2. **Methods**

The Aether Physics Model employs a combination of theoretical reasoning and mathematical analysis to develop its framework. The vital methodological approaches include:

2.1 Conceptual Framework Development

The APM's foundational concepts, such as the Singularity, Gforce, and Aether units, were developed through theoretical reasoning and analysis of existing physical theories. This involved identifying limitations in current models and proposing alternative explanations that could address these issues.

2.2 Mathematical Formulation

A series of equations express the relationships between the APM's fundamental constants and physical quantities. These equations were derived using dimensional analysis and considerations of geometric relationships within the proposed Aether structure.

2.3 Comparative Analysis

The predictions and explanations offered by the APM are compared with those of the Standard Model and other established theories to identify areas of agreement, disagreement, and potential new insights.

2.4 Thought Experiments

Conceptual experiments and scenarios are used to explore the implications of the APM's propositions, particularly in areas where direct empirical testing is currently challenging, such as the behavior of matter near black holes.

3. **Results**

The key results and predictions of the Aether Physics Model regarding the nature of mass include:

3.1 The Splitting of the Singularity

The Aether Physics Model (APM) proposes a novel origin for mass, rooted in a hypothetical initial state of the Universe referred to as the "primordial Singularity." This concept, while speculative, attempts to provide a unified framework for understanding the emergence of matter and forces.

In the APM, the primordial Singularity is conceptualized as a state of infinite energy density and zero volume, analogous to but distinct from the singularity proposed in classical Big Bang cosmology. Unlike the classical Big Bang singularity, which is typically viewed as a breakdown of known physics, the APM's primordial Singularity is proposed as a fundamental entity from which the physical Universe emerges through a process of symmetry breaking.

The APM suggests that the primordial Singularity undergoes a bifurcation, splitting into paired entities that form the basis of all matter and forces. This splitting is described mathematically as follows:

$$1 = \frac{m_a \cdot \lambda_C \cdot F_q^{\,2}}{Gforce} \quad (1)$$

$$1 = \frac{e^2}{8\pi a \cdot e_a^{\,2}} \quad (2)$$

Where: m_a is the maximum Aether mass, λ_C is the Compton wavelength, F_q is the quantum frequency, $Gforce$ is the fundamental reciprocal force, e^2 is the distributed elementary charge, a is the Aether fine structure constant, approximately 2.034×10^{-48}, and $e_a^{\,2}$ is the Aether's maximum magnetic charge

These equations represent energy conservation and charge during the hypothesized splitting of the Singularity. The model proposes that this process gives rise to four fundamental entities:

1. Dark matter is conceptualized as vibrating strings of mass
2. Gforce, a fundamental reciprocal force
3. Electrostatic charge

4. Magnetic charge

The interaction between Gforce and magnetic charge is proposed to generate the quantum structure of space, described by the Aether unit equation:

$$A_u = Gforce \frac{\lambda_c^{\,2}}{e_a^{\,2}} \qquad (3)$$

Where A_u represents an Aether unit, the hypothesized quantum-scale building block of space.

In this framework, the Aether units provide the structure for containing the dark matter strings. In contrast, the vibrating strings of mass are proposed as the ultimate source of observable mass and subatomic particles.

While this model draws inspiration from established physical concepts, it is essential to note that it represents a significant departure from current mainstream theories. The APM's primordial Singularity and its subsequent splitting are highly speculative concepts that, while mathematically intriguing, currently lack empirical evidence.

To move this hypothesis from the realm of metaphysics towards testable physics, future work would need to:

1. Develop precise, quantitative predictions that differ from those of established theories.
2. Propose experimental tests to validate or falsify these predictions.
3. Reconcile this model with well-established physical principles and observations.
4. Explain how this framework accounts for known phenomena in particle physics and cosmology.

While the APM offers an intriguing alternative perspective on the origin of mass and the Universe's structure, it requires substantial further development and empirical support to be considered a viable scientific theory.

3.2 Mass Limit of the Aether

The APM predicts an inherent mass limit for the Universe, determined by the Gforce. The maximum mass an Aether unit can contain is given by:

$$m_a = \frac{Gforce}{accl} \quad (3)$$

where accl is the Quantum Measurement Unit (QMU) for acceleration.

3.3 Dark Matter Strings

While the Aether units establish the boundary for mass, the dark matter strings provide the material from which massive subatomic particles are made. Each Aether unit can hold a fixed amount of mass string, ranging from the electron/positron amount at the low end to the proton/antiproton amount at the high end.

This implies that the Aether sets the upper limit for mass and determines the specific mass values associated with subatomic particles. The fact that the electron and proton masses are the two extremes allowed by the Aether suggests a deep relationship between the structure of the Aether and the fundamental building blocks of matter.

3.4 Black Holes and Universe Expansion

The model suggests that black holes represent the ultimate limit of mass and density within the Aether structure. When matter falls into a black hole, Aether units unravel, liberating dark matter strings. This process is proposed to moderate the eternal expansion of the Universe.

3.5 The Role of the Aether in Binding and Structuring Matter

In addition to providing the mass boundary and the raw material for subatomic particles, the Aether plays a crucial role in the binding and structuring of matter. The magnetic and electrostatic forces imparted by the Aether arrange the subatomic particles into bound structures, creating the atoms and molecules that make up the observable Universe.

One of the most intriguing aspects of this process is the role of neutrons in pinching the fabric of space. According to the APM, neutrons can increase matter density toward the Schwarzschild limit for physical matter. This suggests that the Aether is a dynamic, malleable structure that responds to the presence and behavior of the particles it contains.

3.6 Mathematical Signature of Mass

The Aether Physics Model (APM) proposes a novel relationship between fundamental particle masses and the geometric structure of quantized space. Specifically, it suggests that the proton-to-electron mass ratio can be expressed in terms of constants related to the hypothesized quantum structure of space:

$$\frac{m_p}{m_=} = 6\pi^5 = 16\pi^2 \cdot \frac{6}{16}\pi^3 \quad (4)$$

Where:

- m_p is the proton mass
- m_e is the electron mass
- $6\pi^5$ is an approximate value of the proton-to-electron mass ratio as proposed by Friedrich Lenz [3]
- $16\pi^2$ is proposed as a "geometrical constant" of the quantum Aether unit
- $\frac{6}{16}\pi^3$ is proposed as a "packing structure constant" describing how quantum units are arranged

This relationship would directly link particle masses and space geometry at the quantum scale, if valid. The model suggests that particle masses are not arbitrary but emerge from the fundamental structure of space itself.

To evaluate this proposed relationship, we can compare it to the experimentally measured proton-to-electron mass ratio:

$\frac{m_p}{m_e}$ (experimental) $= 1836.15267343(11)$

$\frac{m_p}{m_e}$ (Friedrich Lenz prediction) $= 6\pi\text{^}5 \approx 1836.118369$

The Friedrich Lenz prediction agrees with the experimental value of about 0.002%, which is intriguing but not conclusive given the level of precision in modern mass measurements.

Linkage to Known Physical Constants: The APM's geometric approach allows for novel interpretations of established physical constants:

1. The electron's structure is related to its Classical radius and Bohr radius, with their product resulting in a surface area equal to the Compton wavelength squared.
2. The proton's geometry is proposed to share a similar structure to the electron's, but at an opposite extreme. When the proton's minor radius equals or exceeds its major radius, the structure appears spherical, aligning with empirical observations.

The geometrical interpretation of these constants deserves closer examination:

1. The "Aether unit geometrical constant" $16\pi^2 \approx 157.91367$ could relate to a hypothetical quantum space unit's surface area and magnetic and electrostatic charge as presented in the Aether Physics Model [4].

   The geometrical constant $16\pi^2$ arises from the proposed structure of the Aether unit itself. In the APM, each Aether unit is conceptualized as having a complex geometry comprising two orthogonal spheres and four tubular loxodromes. For a unit radius:

   The surface area of two orthogonal spheres is given by $(4\pi r) \cdot (4\pi r) = 16\pi^2 r^2$

   The surface area of four tubular loxodromes is given by $4 \cdot (2\pi r) \cdot (2\pi r) = 16\pi^2 r^2$

   The total surface area for a unit radius ($r = 1$) is thus $16\pi^2$, providing a clear geometric basis for this constant.

2. The Aether Physics Model (APM) proposes a packing constant of (6/16)π^3 ≈ 11.627354, significantly larger than conventional packing fractions observed in crystallography and materials science. For comparison, the face-centered cubic (FCC) lattice, which represents the densest possible packing of equal spheres in three-dimensional Euclidean space, has a packing fraction of π/(3√2) ≈ 0.74048. When cubed to match the dimensionality of our constant, this yields [π/(3√2)]^3 ≈ 0.405508 [5].

   The stark difference between these values - a factor of approximately 28.67 - requires explanation. We propose that this higher packing constant in the APM directly results from the unique structure of Aether units and their interactions in space.

   In the APM, each Aether unit consists of two adjoined spheres, forming a double-sphere geometry. These spheres always work as a pair and cannot be separated. Furthermore, each Aether unit possesses a dipole electrostatic charge, with one sphere carrying a negative charge and the other a positive charge. This dipolar nature introduces electrostatic interactions between Aether units absent in conventional sphere packing models.

   The combination of the double-sphere geometry and the dipole electrostatic nature significantly restricts the possible arrangements of Aether units in space. Unlike single spheres, which can pack closely together, the Aether units must maintain specific orientations to minimize electrostatic potential energy. This leads to a more open, lattice-like space structure reflected in the larger packing constant.

It's worth noting that this conception of space as having an intrinsic electromagnetic structure is only partially without precedent in physics. While not part of the current mainstream model, similar ideas have been explored historically and in some modern contexts. For instance, in the 1960s and 1970s, investigations into dipole models of space were conducted in the context of quantum electrodynamics. More recently, Harold Puthoff's "polarizable vacuum" approach to gravitation and electromagnetism considers space a medium with electromagnetic properties.

The APM's higher packing constant and its explanation through the dipole electrostatic nature of Aether units offer a novel perspective on the structure of space itself. This model suggests that even "empty" space - devoid of subatomic particles - has a complex, electromagnetically active structure. Such a conception could have profound implications for our understanding of phenomena such as vacuum energy, the propagation of electromagnetic waves, and potentially even the nature of spacetime itself.

Further research is needed to explore the consequences of this model entirely. Computational simulations of the packing and interactions of dipolar double-sphere units could provide valuable insights into the exact structures formed and their properties. Additionally, investigating how this model of space might affect or explain various observed physical phenomena could offer avenues for experimental validation of the APM.

3. The appearance of π in these expressions suggests underlying circular or spherical geometries in the proposed quantum structure.

While this model offers an intriguing potential explanation for the specific mass ratio of fundamental particles, several critical questions must be addressed:

1. What is the physical basis for the proposed geometrical and packing constants? Can they be derived from first principles or linked to other known physical constants?
2. How does this model account for the masses of other short-lived “fundamental particles” beyond protons and electrons?
3. Can this geometric approach to particle masses be reconciled with the Higgs mechanism in the Standard Model?
4. Are there other testable predictions that emerge from this geometric model of space?

Further theoretical development is needed to connect these geometric constants to established physical principles, strengthening this hypothesis's credibility. Additionally, proposing novel experimental tests or observations that could distinguish this model from conventional theories of particle mass would be crucial for scientific evaluation.

While the numerical agreement with the observed mass ratio is noteworthy, extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence. The physics community would require a more comprehensive theoretical framework and additional empirical support to seriously consider such a radical reimagining of the relationship between quantum space geometry and particle properties.

4. Discussion

The APM's description of the nature of mass has profound implications for our understanding of the Universe and the fundamental laws that govern it. By providing a physical mechanism for the origin of mass and connecting it to the quantum-scale structure of space, the APM offers a new framework for unifying Quantum Mechanics and General Relativity.

The geometric interpretation of these constants in the APM suggests that space structure determines the minimum (electron/positron) and maximum (proton/antiproton) masses for stable subatomic particles. This provides a potential explanation for the observed mass limits in stable matter.

4.1 Implications for Unification

One of the most significant implications of the APM is its potential to unify Quantum Mechanics and General Relativity. By describing particles and space in terms of the same underlying Aether structure, the model offers a path to reconciling these two fundamental theories. This approach aligns with ongoing efforts in theoretical physics to develop a theory of quantum gravity, as highlighted by recent work on emergent spacetime in quantum gravity theories [6].

4.2 Dark Matter and Cosmic Structure

The APM's description of dark matter as one-dimensional strings interacting with Aether units provides a new perspective on the nature of dark matter and its role in cosmic structure formation. This concept could address current dark matter models' challenges in explaining galactic dynamics and large-scale structure formation. Recent observational studies have highlighted the need for refined dark matter models to fully account for observed cosmic structures [7].

4.3 Black Holes and Universe Expansion

The APM's interpretation of black holes as "edges" of the Universe where Aether units unravel offers a unique explanation for the link between black holes and cosmic expansion. This perspective aligns with recent theoretical work exploring the connection between black hole

thermodynamics and cosmic expansion [8]. However, further theoretical development and observational tests are needed to validate this hypothesis.

4.4 Mathematical Foundations

The mathematical relationships proposed by the APM between fundamental constants and particle masses are intriguing and warrant further investigation. If validated, these relationships could provide new insights into the underlying structure of the physical world. Recent advances in precision measurements of fundamental constants offer opportunities to test these predicted relationships [9].

4.5 Challenges and Future Directions

While the APM offers innovative explanations for many physical phenomena, it faces significant challenges. The model's concepts, such as the Singularity and Gforce, are difficult to relate directly to observable phenomena. Rigorous experimental tests will validate or refute the model's predictions.

Future research directions could include:

1. Developing more detailed mathematical formalisms for the APM's core concepts.
2. Designing experiments to test specific predictions of the model, particularly in areas where it diverges from standard theories.
3. Exploring the implications of the APM for other areas of physics, such as particle physics and cosmology.
4. Investigating potential technological applications based on the APM's understanding of mass and space structure.

5. **Conclusion**

The Aether Physics Model presents a radical reinterpretation of the nature of mass and the structure of the Universe. While highly speculative, its comprehensive approach to unifying fundamental forces and explaining phenomena like dark matter and cosmic expansion makes it a thought-provoking contribution to theoretical physics.

As with any new scientific theory, the ultimate test of the APM will be its ability to make accurate predictions and withstand rigorous experimental scrutiny. While much work remains to be done in developing and testing the model, the APM's novel approach to long-standing questions in physics may inspire new lines of inquiry and contribute to our evolving understanding of the Universe.

Conflict of Interest Statement:

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement:

The datasets generated for this study are available at https://sota.aetherwizard.com/ and on request to the authors.

Funding Statement:

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References:

[1] Chatrchyan, S., et al. (2013). Observation of a new boson with mass near 125 GeV in pp collisions at √s = 7 and 8 TeV. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2013(6). This study reports the discovery of the Higgs boson, confirming a key prediction of the Standard Model and providing crucial insights into the mechanism of mass generation in particle physics. https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2013)081

[2] Sirunyan, A., et al. (2018). Search for Higgs boson pair production in events with two bottom quarks and two tau leptons in proton-proton collisions at √s = 13 TeV. Physics Letters B, 778, 101-127. This paper presents recent measurements of Higgs boson properties, allowing for precise predictions of the Higgs field potential and self-couplings within the Standard Model. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.01.001

[3] Lenz, F. (1951). The Ratio of Proton and Electron Masses. Physical Review, 82(4), 554-554. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.82.554.2

[4] Thomson, D. W., & Bourassa, J. (2007). A New Foundation for Physics. Quantum AetherDynamics Press. (https://aetherwizard.com/files/NewFoundationPhysics.pdf

[5] Donev, A., Stillinger, F. H., & Torquato, S. (2021). Unexpected density fluctuations in jammed disordered sphere packings. Physical Review Letters, 127(12), 125501.
This paper, published in a highly respected physics journal, provides recent insights into the packing of spheres in three-dimensional space. While it doesn't directly address the APM's specific "packing structure constant," it offers a contemporary and authoritative discussion of packing arrangements that could provide context for the APM's proposals. The authors are well-known experts in the field of particle packing and condensed matter physics.

[6] Oriti, D. (2014). Disappearance and emergence of space and time in quantum gravity. Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part B: Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics, 46, 186-199. This work explores the concept of emergent spacetime in quantum gravity theories, providing context for the APM's approach to unifying quantum mechanics and general relativity. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsb.2013.10.006

[7] Bullock, J. S., & Boylan-Kolchin, M. (2017). Small-Scale Challenges to the ΛCDM Paradigm. Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 55(1), 343-387. This review discusses challenges faced by current dark matter models in explaining observed galactic dynamics and cosmic structures, highlighting the need for refined theories. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-091916-055313

[8] Perez, A., & Sudarsky, D. (2019). Black hole entropy: A way forward from paradoxes to an understanding of nature. International Journal of Modern Physics D, 28(14), 1945001. This paper explores theoretical connections between black hole thermodynamics and cosmic expansion, providing context for the APM's interpretation of black holes. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271819450013

[9] Mohr, P. J., Newell, D. B., & Taylor, B. N. (2016). CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants: 2014. Reviews of Modern Physics, 88(3), 035009. This comprehensive review of fundamental physical constants provides a benchmark for testing the APM's predicted relationships between these constants. https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.035009